

GirlSMART Venetia Valley
Benchmark 2 Report ~ 2014-15

The GirlSMART Venetia Valley site uses the DIBELS Next, IDEL 7th Edition and *Words Their Way* assessments to measure and diagnose student literacy needs. **Thirty-three kindergarten, first, and second grade** students who were identified by their school sites to be at-risk for literacy success were assessed in January – February 2015 in order to progress monitor academic performance in literacy.


Kindergarten

Four kindergarten students completed the **First Sound Fluency (FSF)**, **Letter Naming Fluency (LNF)**, **Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF)**, and **Nonsense Word Fluency-Correct Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS)**, measures as early literacy indicators. The **LNF** identifies students who are at-risk for success in literacy. The **PSF** measure assesses a student’s ability to segment three and four phoneme words into their individual phonemes fluently and has been found to be a good predictor of later reading achievement. The **NWF** measures the alphabetic principle including letter-sound correspondence in which letters represent their most common sounds and also assesses the ability to blend letters into words. The following table provides an overall composite of the basic literacy skills for the middle trimester of kindergarten.

Kindergarten	First Sound Fluency	Letter Naming Fluency	Phoneme Segmentation Fluency	Nonsense Word Fluency-CLS
Minimum Score	0	0	0	0
Maximum Score	52	110	74	143
Mean Score	32	38.5	35.2	34.8
Median Score	31	41	38	36.5
Mode Score	n/a	n/a	n/a	22
Benchmark Score	52	52	51	34


The **benchmark scores** indicate the minimum score that meets acceptable literacy progress for the second trimester period.

The **Recommended goal** for the second trimester for the **FSF** measure is **52**. Analysis of the results of the **FSF** subtest indicate that **0%** (n=0) of the students who completed this subtest scored **At or Above Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **25%** (n=1) scored **Below Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **75%** (n=3) scored **Well Below Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.




The **recommended goal** for the first trimester for the **LNF** measure is **29**. Analysis of the results of the **LNF** measure indicate that **0%** (n=0) of the students who completed this subtest scored **At or Above Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Core Support**, **50%** (n=2) scored **Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Strategic Support** and **50%** (n=2) scored **Well Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Intensive Support**.

The **recommended goal** for the second trimester for the **PSF** measure is **51**. Analysis of the results of the **PSF** measure indicate that **25%** (n=1) of the students who completed this subtest scored **At or Above Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Core Support**, **0%** (n=0) scored **Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Strategic Support** and **75%** (n=3) scored **Well Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Intensive Support**




The **recommended goal** for the second trimester for the **NWF-CLS** measure is **34**. Analysis of the results of the **NWF-CLS** measure indicate that **50%** (n=2) of the students who completed this subtest scored **At or Above Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Core Support**, **0%** (n=0) scored **Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Strategic Support** and **50%** (n=2) scored **Well Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Intensive Support**.


The following bar graphs indicate the number of kindergarten students who are **Well Below Benchmark** (FSF, n=3; LNF, n=2; PSF, n=3; NWF-CLS, n=2), **Below Benchmark** (FSF, n=1; LNF, n=2; PSF, n=0; NWF-CLS, n=0), and **At or Above Benchmark** (FSF, n=0; LNF, n=0; PSF, n=1; NWF-CLS, n=2) for the second trimester according to the **Recommended Goals** for the FSF, LNF, PSF, and NWF-CLS measures:



Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF)



Nonsense Word Fluency - Correct Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS)



First Trimester and Second Trimester Comparisons

From first trimester to second trimester benchmark measures, there was **25% increase** in the percentage of girls who were *Well Below Benchmark* for **FSF** and **LNF**.

It is noteworthy to take into account that the benchmark scores for **beginning** (FSF=23, LNF=29) and **middle** (FSF=52, LNF=52) measures increased, which accounts for the **decrease** in girls *At or Above Benchmark* and the **increase** in girls *Well Below Benchmark*. These decreases mean that the girls are not improving their literacy skills fast enough to meet the higher benchmark scores. It does not mean that girls are not improving their literacy skills as seen in the table below. The **mean score** for **FSF** increased significantly (by 22.5 points) from 9.5 to 22.5, as did the **mean scores** for **LNF** (by 25 points), from 13.5 to 38.5.

Kindergarten	First Sound Fluency Beginning	First Sound Fluency Middle	Letter Naming Fluency Beginning	Letter Naming Fluency Middle
Minimum Score	0	0	0	0
Maximum Score	52	52	62	110
Mean Score	9.5	32	13.5	38.5
Median Score	9	31	15	36.5
Mode Score	n/a	n/a	n/a	22
Benchmark Score	23	52	29	52

Words Their Way Assessment

No data were provided for *Words Their Way* assessments.



IDEL

Five kindergarten students completed the IDEL **Fluidez en el Nombramiento de las Letras (FNL)**, **Fluidez en la Segmentación de Fonemas-Todas Las Partes (FSF-TLP)**, **Fluidez en las Palabras sin Sentido-Total de Sonidos de Letras Correctos (FPS-TSL)** and measures as early literacy indicators for the second trimester of the 2014-2015 school year. The following composite score table provides an overall assessment of the basic literacy skills for the middle trimester of kindergarten.


Kindergarten	Fluidez en el Nombramiento de las Letras	Fluidez en la Segmentación de Fonemas-Todas Las Partes	Fluidez en las Palabras sin Sentido-Total de Sonidos de Letras Correctos
Minimum Score	0	0	0
Maximum Score	116	128	255
Mean Score	21.8	23.7	4.7
Benchmark Score	25	30	20

The Benchmark Scores indicates the minimum score that meets acceptable literacy progress for the second trimester period.

Recommended benchmark for the second trimester for the **FNL** measure is 25. Analysis of the results of the **LNF** measure indicate that **33.3%** (n=2) of the students who completed this subtest scored *At or Above Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **33.3%** (n=2) scored *Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **33.3%** (n=2) scored *Well Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.




Recommended Goals for the second trimester for the **FSF-TLP** measure is 30. Analysis of the results of the **FSF-TLP** measure indicate that **33.3%** (n=2) of the students who completed this subtest scored *At or Above Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **33.3%** (n=2) scored *Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **33.3%** (n=2) scored *Well Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.




Recommended Goals for the second trimester for the **FPS-TSC** measure is 20. Analysis of the results of the **FPS-TSL** measure indicate that **0%** (n=0) of the students who completed this subtest scored *At or Above Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **33%** (n=2) scored *Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **67%** (n=4) scored *Well Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.

The following bar graphs indicate the number of kindergarten students who are *Well Below Benchmark* (FNL, n=2; FSF-TLP, n=2; TSL, n=4), *Below Benchmark* (FNL, n=2; FSF-TLP, n=2; TSL, n=2), and *At or Above Benchmark* (FNL, n=2; FSF-TLP, n=2; TSL, n=0) for the second trimester according to the *Recommended Goals* of the **FNL**, **FSF-TLP**, **FPS-TSC** measures:


Fluidez en Nombrar Letras (FNL)



Fluidez en la Segmentación de Fonemas - Todas Las Partes (FSF-TLP)



Fluidez en las Palabras sin Sentido - Total de sonidos de letras correctos (FPS-TSL)





First Grade

Six first grade students completed the **Nonsense Word Fluency-Correct Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS)**, **Nonsense Word Fluency-Whole Words Read (NWF-WWR)**, **DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency-Words Correct (DORF-WC)**, and **DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency-Accuracy (DORF-Accuracy)** measures as early literacy indicators. The **NWF** measures alphabetic principle including letter-sound correspondence in which letters represent their most common sounds and also assesses the ability to blend letters into words. The **DORF** is a set of passages designed to identify students who may need additional instructional support and can be used to monitor progress toward instructional goals. The following composite score table provides an overall assessment of the basic literacy skills for first grade in the second trimester.



First Grade	NWF-CLS	NWF-WWR	DORF-Words Correct	DORF-Accuracy
Minimum Score	0	0	0	0%
Maximum Score	143	50	275	100%
Mean Score	35.2	9.3	17.5	59%
Median Score	28.5	7	13.5	65%
Mode Score	n/a	3	10	67%
Benchmark Score	70	21	34	86%

The **recommended goal** for the second trimester for the **NWF-CLS** measure is **70**. Analysis of the results of the NWF-CLS measure indicate that **17%** (n=1) of the students who completed this subtest scored **At or Above Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Core Support**, **17%** (n=1) scored **Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Strategic Support** and **67%** (n=4) scored **Well Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Intensive Support**.



The **recommended goal** for the second trimester for the **NWF-WWR** measure is **21**. Analysis of the results of the **NWF-WWR** measure indicate that **0%** (n=0) of the students who completed this subtest scored **At or Above Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **33%** (n=2) scored **Below Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **67%** (n=6) scored **Well Below Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.


The **recommended goal** for the second trimester for the **DORF-WC** measure is **34**. Analysis of the results of the **DORF-WC** measure indicate that **17%** (n=1) of the students who completed this subtest scored **At or Above Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **17%** (n=1) scored **Below Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **66%** (n=4) scored **Well Below Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.




The **recommended goal** for the first trimester for the **DORF-Accuracy** measure is **86%**. Analysis of the results of the **DORF-Accuracy** measure indicate that **0%** (n=0) of the students who completed this subtest scored **At or Above Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **0%** (n=0) scored **Below Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **100%** (n=6) scored **Well Below Benchmark** and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.

The following bar graphs indicate the number of **first grade students** who are **Well Below Benchmark** (NWF-CLS, n=4; NWF-WWR, n=4; DORF-WC, n=4; DORF-AC, n=6), **Below Benchmark** (NWF-CLS, n=1; NWF-WWR, n=2; DORF-WC, n=1; DORF-AC, n=0), and **At or Above Benchmark** (NWF-CLS, n=1; NWF-WWR, n=0; DORF-WC, n=1; DORF-AC, n=0), for the second trimester according to the *Recommended Goals* for the **NWF-CLS**, **NWF-WWR**, **DORF-WC** and **DORF-Accuracy** measures:


Nonsense Word Fluency - Correct Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS)




Nonsense Word Fluency - Whole Words Read (NWF-WWR)



DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency - Words Correct (DORF-Words Correct)



DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency - Accuracy (DORF-Accuracy)



First and Second Trimester Comparisons

From first trimester to second trimester benchmark measures, there was a **17% increase** in the percentage of girls who were *At or Above Benchmark* on the **NWF-CLS** and a **40% decrease** in the percentage of girls who were *At or Above Benchmark* on the **NWF-WWR**.

It is noteworthy to take into account that the benchmark scores for **beginning** (NWF-CLS=42, NWF-WWR=7) and **middle** (NWF-CLS=70, NWF-WWR=21) measures **increased**, which accounts for the **decrease** in girls *At or Above Benchmark* and the **increase** in girls *Well Below Benchmark*. These changes do not mean that girls are not improving their literacy skills as seen in the table below. The **mean score** for **NWF-CLS** **increased significantly** (by 18.4 points) from 16.8 to 35.2, as did the **mean scores** for **NWF-WWR** (by 5.1 points), from 4.2 to 9.3.

First Grade	NWF-CLS Beginning	NWF-CLS Middle	NWF-WWR Beginning	NWF-WWR Middle
Minimum Score	0	0	0	0
Maximum Score	143	143	50	50
Mean Score	16.8	35.2	4.2	9.3
Median Score	7	28.5	1	7
Mode Score	n/a	n/a	0	3
Benchmark Score	42	70	7	21

Words Their Way Assessment

Ten first grade girls were administered the **Words Their Way (WTW) Primary Spelling Inventory (PSI)** in January and February of 2015. The results of the **WTW PSI**, based on the mean scores for each spelling feature, indicate that the majority of girls are in the **middle Letter Name-Alphabetic stage** and need continued review work on the features of **short vowel** and **digraphs**.

First Grade	Initial Consonants	Final Consonants	Short Vowel	Digraphs	Blends
Minimum Score	0	0	0	0	0
Maximum Score	7	7	7	7	7
Mean Score Feb 2015	6.3	6.6	4.5	2.1	3.8
Mean Score Sept 2014	5.1	5.6	4.0	1.0	2.0
Difference	+1.2	+1	+0.5	+2	+1.8

The average placement of the girls in the **middle Letter-Name Alphabetic** stage is confirmed by the **low mean scores on more advanced features** beginning with **digraphs** through **inflected endings**.

First Grade	Long Vowels	Other Vowels	Inflected Endings	Correct Spellings
Minimum Score	0	0	0	0
Maximum Score	7	7	7	26
Mean Score Feb 2015	0.3	0.4	0.6	3.9
Mean Score Sept 2014	0.2	0.3	0	n/a
Difference	+0.1	+0.1	+0.6	n/a

Included in each table are the **mean scores** for the **September 2014** assessment cycle and the **difference between the mean scores** for the **September 2014** assessment cycle and the **January-February 2015** assessment cycle. An **increase in mean scores for every spelling feature** indicates that girls are making **steady improvement** in their knowledge of spelling. To best meet the developmental spelling needs of all girls, continued differentiated instruction following guidelines and activities found in *WTW* is recommended.



IDEL

Four first grade students completed the following IDEL assessments: **Fluidez en la Segmentación de Fonemas-Todas Las Partes (FSF-TLP)**, **Fluidez en las Palabras sin Sentido-Total de Sonidos de Letras Correctos (FPS-TSL)** and the **Fluidez en la Lectura Oral-Palabras Correctas (FLO-PC)** as early literacy indicators for the second trimester of the 2014-2015 school year. The following composite score table provides an overall assessment of the basic literacy skills for the middle trimester of kindergarten.


Kindergarten	Fluidez en la Segmentación de Fonemas-Todas Las Partes	Fluidez en las Palabras sin Sentido-Total de Sonidos de Letras Correctos	Fluidez en la Lectura Oral-Palabras Correctas
Minimum Score	0	0	0
Maximum Score	128	255	?
Mean Score	59.8	4.	23.2
Benchmark Score	50	70	65

The Benchmark Scores indicates the minimum score that meets acceptable literacy progress for the second trimester period.

Recommended Goals for the second trimester for the **FSF-TLP** measure is 50. Analysis of the results of the **FSF-TLP** measure indicate that **75%** (n=3) of the students who completed this subtest scored **At or Above Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Core Support**, **0%** (n=0) scored **Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Strategic Support** and **25%** (n=1) scored **Well Below Benchmark** and are **Likely to Need Intensive Support**.




Recommended Goals for the second trimester for the **FPS-TSL** measure is 70. Analysis of the results of the **FSF-TLP** measure indicate that **75%** (n=3) of the students who completed this subtest scored *At or Above Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **0%** (n=0) scored *Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **25%** (n=1) scored *Well Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.




Recommended Goals for the second trimester for **the FLO-PC** measure is 65. Analysis of the results of the **FLO-PC** measure indicate that **75%** (n=0) of the students who completed this subtest scored *At or Above Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **0%** (n=0) scored *Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **25%** (n=1) scored *Well Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.

The following bar graphs indicate the number of first grade students who are *Well Below Benchmark* (FSF-TLP, n=1; FPS-TSL, n=1; FLO-PC, n=1), *Below Benchmark* (FSF-TLP, n=0; FPS-TSL, n=1; FLO-PC, n=0) and *At or Above Benchmark* (FSF-TLP, n=3; FPS-TSL, n=2; FLO-PC, n=0) for the second trimester according to the *Recommended Goals* of the **FSF-TLP**, **FPS-TSC**, and **FLO-PC** measures:


Fluidez en la Segmentación de Fonemas - Todas Las Partes (FSF-TLP)



Fluidez en las Palabras sin Sentido - Total de sonidos de letras correctos (FPS-TSL)



Fluidez en la Lectura Oral - Palabras Correctas (FLO-Palabras Correctas)




Second Grade

Fifteen second grade students completed the **DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency-Words Correct (DORF-WC)**, and **DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency-Accuracy (DORF-Accuracy)** measures as early literacy indicators. The **DORF** is a set of passages designed to identify students who may need additional instructional support and can be used to monitor progress toward instructional goals. The following composite score table provides an overall assessment of the basic literacy skills for the middle trimester of second grade.



Second Grade	DORF-Words Correct	DORF-Accuracy
Minimum Score	0	0
Maximum Score	300	100%
Mean Score	48.9	80.2%
Median Score	44	89%
Mode Score	54	92%
Benchmark Score	100	99%

The **recommended goal** for the **DORF-WC** for the second trimester is **100**. Analysis of the results of the **DORF-WC** measure indicate that **7%** (n=1) of the students who completed this subtest scored *At or Above Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **14%** (n=2) scored *Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **79%** (n=11) scored *Well Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.



The **recommended goal** for the second trimester for the **DORF-Accuracy** measure is **99%**. Analysis of the results of the **DORF-Accuracy** measure indicate that **0%** (n=0) of the students who completed this subtest scored *At or Above Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Core Support*, **7%** (n=1) scored *Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Strategic Support* and **93%** (n=13) scored *Well Below Benchmark* and are *Likely to Need Intensive Support*.

The following bar graphs indicate the number of second grade students who are *Well Below Benchmark* (DORF-WC, n=11; DORF-AC, n=13), *Below Benchmark* (DORF-WC, n=2; DORF-AC, n=1), and *At or Above Benchmark* (DORF-WC, n=1; DORF-AC, n=0), for the second trimester according to the *Recommended Goals* for the **DORF-WC** and **DORF-Accuracy** measures:



First and Second Trimester Comparisons

From first trimester to second trimester benchmark measures, for **DORF-WC**, there was a slight **decrease (5%)** in the percentage of girls who were *Well Below Benchmark*. There are no comparisons for the DORF-AC since there were no data for the first trimester.

It is noteworthy to take into account that the benchmark score for **beginning DORF-WC** was **80**, while the **middle DORF-WC** benchmark score was **100**. Even though the **benchmark increased by 20 points**, the percentage of girls *Well Below Benchmark* was **slightly lower** from the first to the second trimester.

The table below shows that **mean score** for **DORF-WC** **increased significantly** (by 13.0 points) from 35.9 to 48.9.

Second Grade	DORF-Words Correct Beginning	DORF-Words Correct Middle	DORF-Accuracy Beginning	DORF-Accuracy Middle
Minimum Score	0	0	0%	0
Maximum Score	275	300	100%	100%
Mean Score	35.9	48.9	n/a	80.2%
Median Score	34	44	n/a	89%
Mode Score	34	54	n/a	92%
Benchmark Score	80	100	99%	99%

Words Their Way Assessment

Fifteen second grade girls were administered the **Words Their Way (WTW) Primary Spelling Inventory (PSI)** in January-February of 2015. The results of the *WTW* PSI, based on the mean scores for each spelling feature, indicate that the majority of girls are in the **late Letter Name Alphabetic to early Within Word Pattern stage** and need continued work on the features of **digraphs** and **blends**.

Second Grade	Initial Consonants	Final Consonants	Short Vowel	Digraphs	Blends
Minimum Score	0	0	0	0	0
Maximum Score	7	7	7	7	7
Mean Score <i>Feb 2015</i>	6.5	6.5	5.7	5.1	4.3
Mean Score <i>Sept 2014</i>	6.5	5.8	4.9	3.9	1.3
Difference	0	+1.3	+0.8	+1.2	+3.0

The average placement of the girls in the **late Letter Name Alphabetic to early Within Word Pattern stage** is confirmed by the low mean scores on the **long vowels, other vowels, and inflected endings**.

Second Grade	Long Vowels	Other Vowels	Inflected Endings	Correct Spellings
Minimum Score	0	0	0	0
Maximum Score	7	7	7	26
Mean Score <i>Feb 2015</i>	1.2	1.7	1.3	7.2
Mean Score <i>Sept 2014</i>	1.5	1	n/a	n/a
Difference	-0.3	+0.7	n/a	n/a

Included in each table are the **mean scores** for the **September 2014** assessment cycle and the **difference between the mean scores** for the **September 2014** assessment cycle and the **January-February 2015** assessment cycle. An **increase in mean scores for every spelling feature** indicates that girls are making **steady improvement** in their knowledge of spelling. To best meet the developmental spelling needs of all girls, continued differentiated instruction following guidelines and activities found in *WTW* is recommended.

OVERALL STRENGTHS

Analysis of the data from the **Middle (or Second) Trimester DIBELS Benchmark** measures indicates that the Venetia Valley GirlSMART program is supporting the success of emerging literacy skills for the **33 identified at-risk students** who are participating in the program. The mean score for **kindergartners** on the **FSF increased by 22.5 points** and the mean score for **LNF increased by 25 points**. The mean score for **first graders** on the **NWF-CLS increased by 18.4 points** and the mean score for **NWF-WWR increased by 5.1 points**. The mean score for **second graders** on the **DORF-WC increased by 13 points**. The assessment results for **Words Their**

Way revealed that the majority of girls for all grade levels are at an **appropriate developmental spelling stage** for their grade level. Additionally, the **mean scores** at all grade levels **increased significantly** from the first trimester to the second trimester which indicates that girls are **improving their ability to spell** and thus equally improving **their knowledge of phonics**.

Areas of Concern

Although on all assessment measures the mean scores for girls increased significantly, there are still **too many girls** whose assessment scores place them **Well Below Benchmark**. Students in the early grades who score **below** and **well below** benchmark are at **risk of falling further behind** with each grade level. For example, **75%** of **kindergartners** scored **Well Below Benchmark** on **FSF**, **75%** scored **Well Below Benchmark** on **LNF**, **75%** scored **Well Below Benchmark** on **PSF**, and **50%** scored **Well Below Benchmark** on **NWF-CLS benchmark goals**. The **DIBELS scores** of **subsequent grades** place a substantial percentage of girls **Well Below Benchmark**. For example, **80%** of **first graders** scored **Well Below Benchmark** on **NWF-CLS** and **60%** scored in this same benchmark on the **NWR-WWR**. **Eighty-four percent** of **second graders** scored **Well Below Benchmark** on **DORF-WC**, and **93%** scored **Well Below Benchmark** on **DORF-AC**.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR GROWTH

Girls who scored **below** and **well below benchmark** on **FSF**, **LNF** and **NWF** need **intensive phonics instruction** through one on one or **small group instruction**. For all **phonics activities**, it is important to **emphasize** the **sound first** and **then the letter** that represents the sound. **Rhyming books**, **word family sorts** and other **WTW sorts** should be used regularly for the lower grades. **Speed sorts** and **blind sorts** should be used regularly and **more emphasis** should be placed on **WTW writing activities** for students at the end of grade 1 through 3. Teachers should consult the **WTW book** for activities that focus on **rapid word recognition** (automaticity) and **writing activities** (for end of grade 1 through 3).

Ample time each day should be devoted to increasing the number of literacy activities that support students' ability to **read accurately and fluently**. Activities such as **guided reading**, **shared reading**, **choral reading**, **independent reading**, **repeated readings**, **Reader's Theater** and other **"eyes on the page"** activities are needed to **improve students' fluency**. Students whose test results indicate that they are **below** and **well below** benchmark need **special support** via **one on one** or **small group instruction** using **choral reading** or **guided reading** to ensure that they are making gains in fluency. Giving them **access to books at their independent reading level** during free time within the literacy hour, having them **record themselves reading**, and **sending books home** with them to read on their own or to others will also improve their fluency.

Additional focus on comprehension and **constructing meaning** needs to be incorporated in the **second** and **third grade** programs. This can be done through **guided reading**, **interactive** and **dialogic read alouds**, and **teacher read alouds** that incorporate **comprehension strategies** from *Strategies that Work* and asking **questions that elicit language**. Lastly, **post-reading** activities that include **discussion** and **writing activities** that allow students to show their comprehension of the text should also be implemented. Additionally, there appears to be a widening gap in the upper grades, with some girls making tremendous gains, and others still struggling. More individualized and small group instruction should be given to girls who are not making gains.

Analyzing the individual student's measures by visiting the **DIBELS website** under the **Reports** tab and clicking on the **Class Progress Summary (K-2)** and the **Grade List (3)** tabs or by using the **Excel spreadsheet** provided by the external evaluator would support increased opportunities for small group and individualized differentiation to meet the needs of the most at risk students.